How Wikipedia's Pro-Hamas Editors Hijacked the Israel-Palestine Narrative

a powerful group of editors is hijacking wikipedia, pushing pro-palestinian propaganda, erasing key facts about hamas, and reshaping the narrative around israel with alarming influence

Ashley Rindsberg

Oct 24, 2024

- **A coordinated campaign led by around 40 Wikipedia editors has worked to delegitimize Israel, present radical Islamist groups in a favorable light, and position fringe academic views on the Israel-Palestine conflict as mainstream over past years, intensifying after the October 7 attack**
- **Six weeks after October 7, one of these editors successfully removed mention of Hamas' 1988 charter, which calls for the killing of Jews and the destruction of Israel, from the article**

Pirate Wires | Technology | Politics

0 free articles left

PW Technology

Newsletters Subscribe Sign In

including deleting "huge amounts of documented human rights crimes by [Islamic Republic Party] officials"

- **A group called Tech For Palestine launched a separate but complementary campaign after October 7, which violated Wikipedia policies by coordinating to edit Israel-Palestine articles on the group 8,000 member Discord**
- **Tech For Palestine abandoned its efforts and its members went into a panic after a blog discovered what they were doing; the group deleted all its Wiki Talk pages and Sandboxes they had been using to coordinate their editing efforts, and the main editor deleted all her chats from the group's Discord channel**

Subscribe to The Industry

Your email here... Sign up for free

On everything from American politics to corporate brands, Wikipedia plays host to a smoldering battle of ideas and values that occasionally erupts into white-hot, internecine edit wars. But no fire burns hotter than the Israel-Palestine topic area. The topic is such a flashpoint that the Palestine-Israel Articles (PIA) designation is used synonymously with its own dispute resolution abbreviation — Requests for arbitration/Palestine-Israel Articles, known as ARBPIA in Wiki-speak.

While always contentious, over roughly the past four years, and intensifying since October 7, PIA has been subject to a highly coordinated, sustained and remarkably effective campaign to radically alter public perception of the conflict. Led by around 40 mostly veteran editors, the campaign has worked to delegitimize Israel, present radical Islamist groups in a favorable light, and position fringe academic views on the Israel-Palestine conflict as mainstream.

A separate but complementary campaign, launched after October 7 and staged from an 8,000 member-strong Discord group called Tech For Palestine (TFP), employed common tech modalities — ticket creation, strategy planning sessions, group audio "office hour" chats — to alter over 100 articles. Operating from February 6 to September 3 of this year, TFP became a well-oiled operation, going so far as to attempt to use Wikipedia as a means of pressuring British members of parliament into changing their positions on Israel and the Gaza War.

These efforts are remarkably successful. Type "Zionism" into Wikipedia's search box and, aside from the main article on Zionism (and a disambiguation page), the auto-fill returns: "Zionism as settler colonialism," "*Zionism in the Age of the Dictators*" (a book by a pro-Palestinian Trotskyite), "Zionism from the Standpoint of its Victims," and "Racism in Israel."

The aggregate effect of these efforts is a wholesale shift to t

Pirate Wires | Technology | Politics

0 free articles left

PW Technology

Newsletters Subscribe Sign In

If you Google "Zionism and settler colonialism," for example, what you get is a Wikipedia article automatically anchored to the very top of the Google search results, with its own knowledge panel to the right. A fringe concept that would have only shown a smattering of unfocused articles just two years ago — before the article was created — now has its own primetime Internet stage.

"The recent issue with the 'Zionism' Wikipedia page is fundamentally a Google problem," says someone familiar with the matter. "Wikipedia articles act as an unprotected back door to top Google search results, with the article's introduction often populating the knowledge panel, giving the impression Google has vetted this content — when it hasn't. Malicious editors exploit this vulnerability, platforming fringe views and giving them priority over more reliable sources."

The kind of coordination carried out by these groups violates many of Wikipedia's most fundamental policies, including one of its core content policies, Neutral Point of View (NPOV), which states that, "Wikipedia aims to **describe disputes, but not engage in them.**" The practice also violates the Gaming the System guideline, which prohibits editors from "engineering 'victory' in a content dispute." It runs afoul of the broader Wikipedia ethos discouraging Tag teaming, when "editors coordinate their actions to circumvent the normal process of consensus." Most flagrantly, it violates a guideline called Canvassing, which prohibits secret coordination with the "intention of influencing the outcome of a discussion in a particular way."

To skirt this, the pro-Palestine group leverages deep Wikipedia know-how to coordinate efforts without raising red flags. They work in small clusters, with only two or three active in the same article at any given time. On their own, many of these edits appear minor, even trivial. But together, their scope is staggering, with two million edits made to more than 10,000 articles, a majority of which are PIA or topically associated. In dozens of cases, the group's edits account for upwards of 90% of the content on an article, giving them complete control of the topics.

One of the most prominent members of the pro-Palestine group is the user Iskandar323, a prolific editor whose nuanced approach to historical and even esoteric articles is representative of the larger effort. In the article on "Jews," for example, he removed the "Land of Israel" from a key sentence on the origin of Jewish people. He changed the article's short description (a condensed summary that appears on Wikipedia's mobile version and on site search results) from "Ethnoreligious group and nation from the Levant" to "Ethnoreligious group and cultural community." Though subtle, the implication is significant: unlike nations, "cultural communities" don't require, or warrant, their own states.

Iskandar also worked to sanitize articles on Hamas, in one case removing mention of Hamas' 1988 charter, which calls for the killing of Jews and the destruction of Israel, from the article "Hamas." (The edit remains intact today.) He removed mention of Hamas' 1988 charter in at least three other articles.

Pirate Wires | Technology | Politics
0 free articles left
PW Technology
Newsletters Subscribe Sign In

passage related to criticism of its stance on Israel. On the "History of Israel" article, Iskandar deleted a paragraph critical of the Iranian government; removed an account of 16th century Jewish immigration to Israel; excised a mention of the Palestinian Mufti of Jerusalem's alliance with Hitler; and made dozens of similar edits — all in a matter of minutes.

Far from a lone wolf, however, Iskandar is part of a group of editors that uses coordinated "swarm" tactics that, taken together, invert Wikipedia's founding vision, turning the site's perceived neutrality and authority into an attack vector that can be hijacked to advance ideological aims at a mass scale.

In August, an analysis of the intensity of editing in PIA between January 2022 and September 2024 found that the top contributor to PIA by number of edits, a user called Selfstudier, made over 15,000 edits in the space in that period. Iskandar323 contributed over 12,000 edits to PIA articles in the same period. Other members of the pro-Palestine group are equally prolific, with top contributors including CarmenEsparzaAmoux (8,353), Makeandtoss (8,074), Nableezy (6,414), Nishidani (5,879), Onceinawhile (4,760) and an admin called Zero0000 (2,561).

The 15,000 edits by Selfstudier and the 12,000 by Iskandar323 put those two users in the top 99.975% of editors by number of edits — solely for their PIA edits made in under three years. The other pro-Palestine group members' PIA edits from this period place them among the top 99.9% of Wikipedia editors. All together, the top 20 editors of this group made over 850,000 edits to more than 10,500 articles, the majority of them in the Palestine-Israel topic area, or topically connected historical articles.

It's not just the raw number of edits that matters. The same analysis shows that fully 90% of total edits by Selfstudier in that period were made to Palestine-Israel articles. Other members of the group clock in at 90% (sean.hoyland), 86% (CarmenEsparzaAmoux), 82% (Makeandross), 64% (Nishidani), and 43% (Onceinawhile). After October 7 the intensity increased, with Selfstudier peaking at 99% in October 2023, while others got to 97%, 98% and even 100% of their total monthly edits dedicated to PIA.



Click to enlarge

To evade detection, the group works in pairs or trios, an approach that veils them from detection. They also appear to rotate

Pirate Wires | Technology | Politics
0 free articles left
PW Technology
Newsletters Subscribe Sign In

kind of abuse, making it easy for two dedicated users to overwhelm or exhaust the lone editor.

A separate analysis shows the number of instances in which two members of the group edited the same article to be extraordinarily high. As of time of publication, Nableezy and Onceinawhile have co-edited 1,418 articles. Nableezy and Iskandar323 1,429 co-edited articles. Onceinawhile and Zero0000 have co-edited 2,119 articles. Zero000 and Nableezy have co-edited 1,754 articles. Onceinawhile and Iskandar323 have 1,594 co-edited. Huldra and Onceinawhile have co-edited articles 2,493 times. Nableezy and Huldra have co-edited 1,764 times.



User Name	Nableezy	Huldra	Zero0000	Iskandar323	Onceinawhile	Sean.hoyland	Nishidani	Supreme Deliciousness	Selfstudier	Dimadick	Vice regent	XTheBedrockX	Makeandtoss
Nableezy	x	1764	1754	1429	1418	1242	1219	1066	878	774	568	525	518
Huldra	1764	x	2706	1408	2493	884	1247	969	579	1174	331	425	458
Zero0000	1754	2706	x	1499	2119	1048	1273	866	720	1171	375	431	491
Iskandar323	1429	1408	1499	x	1594	930	1021	573	630	2478	964	884	613
Onceinawhile	1418	2493	2119	1594	x	1063	989	858	766	1944	400	621	523
Sean.hoyland	1242	884	1048	930	1063	x	723	599	449	797	383	296	363
Nishidani	1219	1247	1273	1021	989	723	x	436	556	855	396	372	375
Supreme Deliciousness	1066	969	866	573	858	599	436	x	307	614	238	226	232
Selfstudier	878	579	720	630	766	449	556	307	x	397	375	285	383
Dimadick	774	1174	1171	2478	1944	797	855	614	397	x	816	4294	385
Vice regent	568	331	375	964	400	383	396	238	375	816	x	455	316
XTheBedrockX	525	425	431	884	621	298	372	226	285	4294	455	x	248
Makeandtoss	518	458	491	613	523	363	375	232	383	385	316	248	x
Buidhe	436	423	497	742	685	380	402	224	303	4737	551	1506	191
NSH001	409	534	348	342	338	289	1272	148	156	402	140	117	129
Levivich	357	201	226	313	254	177	238	160	233	616	255	114	144
Starship.paint	307	166	149	240	158	135	186	126	177	701	198	182	137
Tobby72	262	314	250	584	488	408	267	266	193	3096	527	905	179
Pinorele	259	247	209	390	227	205	202	133	153	1934	352	528	137
Mhhossein	250	189	142	379	179	146	188	116	124	509	324	293	139
IOHANNVSVERVS	227	226	237	277	215	170	197	89	174	107	125	144	163
Aquillion	211	148	174	236	168	159	157	93	131	1198	209	181	76
Skitash	203	174	147	370	273	135	114	137	142	472	211	482	173
Surtsicna	199	254	164	404	338	181	171	137	88	8132	244	486	141
Kashmiri	183	133	111	343	150	151	121	90	146	544	265	176	100
Selfstudier	149	104	105	150	113	113	122	68	79	690	81	382	65
TarnishedPath	138	85	92	180	93	92	89	63	92	214	122	59	60
IvanScrooge98	133	215	154	265	283	182	175	126	60	2662	150	215	79
The Great Mule of Eupatoria	131	95	90	164	88	92	88	68	102	129	123	124	120
CarmenEsparzaAmoux	129	60	52	174	63	66	83	36	94	102	134	226	110

Incidences of co-edited articles amongst top 30 members of this group. Cells in purple indicate instances of two editors co-editing more than 150 articles. Click to enlarge.

One of the articles targeted most intensively by the group is the one for Amin Al-Husseini, the Mufti of Jerusalem from the 1920s to the 1950s, a pivotal figure in Palestinian history. While Iskandar323 worked to remove negative content from the Al-Husseini article, it was two other members of the group — Zero0000 and Nishidani — who would have the greatest impact, together making over 1,000 edits to the article, often in an attempt to erase or downplay Al-Husseini's well-documented collaboration with Hitler.

In one instance in April 2021, Zero0000 and Nishidani worked together to keep a photo of Al-Husseini touring a Nazi concentration camp out of the article. While a single editor, Shane (a newbie), advocated for its inclusion, a trio of veterans including Zero0000, Nishidani and Selfstudier fought back. After Selfstudier accused Shane of being a troll for arguing for the photo's inclusion, Zero0000, days later, "objected" to its inclusion, citing issues of provenance. Nishidani stepped in to back up Zero0000, prompting a response by Shane. The following day, Zero0000 pushed back against Shane, who responded. The day after, Nishidani returned with his own pushback. The tag-team effort proved too much for Shane, who simply gave up, and the effort succeeded: the photo remains absent. To date, Nishidani's contributions to the article on Al-Husseini comprise 56.4% of its content.

In another case, Nishidani worked with a member of the pro-Palestine group editors, Onceinawhile to produce an article called "Zionism, race and genetics." (The article's title was later changed to "Racial conceptions of Jewish identity in Zionism".) The artic

Pirate Wires | Technology | Politics
0 free articles left
PW Technology
Newsletters Subscribe Sign In

created the article in July of last year, accompanied by a note arguing, "Early Zionists were the primary supporters of the idea that Jews are a race, as it offered scientific 'proof' of the ethno-nationalist myth of common descent." Together, Onceinawhile and Nishidani's contributions account for nearly 90% of the article's content. Onceinawhile would continue to push this view in numerous other articles, including the article on "Zionism."

In March, a Wikipedia user submitted a case to Wikipedia's Arbitration Committee (Arbcom) alleging "a systematic removal of instances documenting human rights crimes by Iranian officials on Wikipedia, accompanied by the addition of misleading information favoring the IRP (Islamic Republic Party) on the platform." The case shows that a member of the pro-Palestine group called Mhhossein edited the article on the Mahsa Amini protests — the months-long anti-regime demonstrations that rocked Iran when a young woman died in custody after being arrested for improperly wearing her head scarf — to change key wording to falsely depict widespread support for the Iranian regime and whitewash violent calls from pro-government counter-demonstrators.

According to the allegations, Iskandar323 (who has co-edited with Mhhossein nearly 400 times) worked with a separate editor to delete "huge amounts of documented human rights crimes by [Iranian] officials." This included a claim about Iran's post-revolution death commissions that executed thousands political prisoners; details showing executions were carried out by "high-ranking members of Iran's current government"; mention of the Iranian government's "unprecedented reign of terror" in the early 1980s; the sentencing of an Iranian official to life in prison in Sweden for his role in the executions; the targeting of an Iranian dissident group with "psychological warfare," and dozens of others.

The charges are serious, and the evidence backing them up abundant. Nevertheless, seven months later the Arbcom case is still pending. The reason is systemic: in a lengthy request for arbitration on a separate PIA case, one of Wikipedia's arbitrators noted that the final decision-making panel is staffed by 12 volunteers, only 10 of whom are active. "It is clear that AE [arbitration enforcement] has run out of steam to handle the morass of editor conduct issues in PIA," the arbitrator wrote. "PIA is a Gordian knot; and AE has run short of knot detanglers."

Electing more Arbcom members would require a massive overhaul of the site's governing regulations, a task akin to the US government amending its constitution. And though Wikimedia Foundation, which owns the site, has around $500 million in assets, because of the air-gap between Wikipedia and WMF and the volunteer ethos of Wikipedia's mission not a penny can be used to hire people to oversee contentious topics.

So the group's pro-Iran efforts go unchecked. One of its most prominent members, Nableezy (over 6,000 PIA edits since 2022), has put considerable effort into sanding the hard edges off of Iran's most powerful proxy, Hezbollah. Nableezy — who took the

Pirate Wires | Technology | Politics
0 free articles left
PW Technology
Newsletters Subscribe Sign In

arguing “An attack on military targets is not terrorism.” Last year, Nableezy, who appears to be an American, argued in the Talk page for the “Hezbollah” article that, “The US military is designated as a terror group by Iran, should we include that as an endnote everywhere the US army is mentioned?”

But Nableezy’s main area of focus is Israel. To this end, Nableezy’s editing has included subtle, ideologically consistent moves like removing a picture of the Dead Sea Scrolls from the “Israel” article (the image remains absent at time of publishing), pushing for the removal of the ancient history of Israel from the article, and altering a sentence on Zionism that described it as a call by its leaders for the “restoration of the Jews to their homeland” to a call for “the colonization of Palestine by European Jews.”

This exchange embodies the rhetorical approach taken by the group: the shifting of language, the torturing of settled definitions, and positioning fringe academic theory as mainstream — an approach developed by the radical left, in concert with global Islamist movements, in the wake of 9/11, when the attacks put Islamism on the moral back foot. In response, the leftist-Islamist alliance launched two decades of ideological assault on the US, and the West more generally. The same post-9/11 dynamic took place after October 7, when the savagery of the Hamas attack opened a vulnerability as the broader public would recognize it as a barbaric attack on civilians.

In response, the ideological push-back on Wikipedia ramped up. In February, an explicitly coordinated effort was launched when leaders on a group called Tech For Palestine (TFP) — launched in January by Paul Biggar, the Irish co-founder of software development platform CircleCI — opened a channel on their 8,000-strong Discord channel called “tfp-wikipedia-collaboration.” In the channel, two group leaders, Samira and Samer, coordinated with other members to mass edit a number of PIA articles. The effort included recruiting volunteers, processing them through formal orientation, troubleshooting issues, and holding remote office hours to problem solve and ideate. The channel’s welcome message posed a revealing question: “Why Wikipedia? It is a widely accessed resource, and its content influences public perception.”

At the heart of TFP Wikipedia Collaboration was a veteran editor called Ïvana, who was tapped as the resident expert on the site, and whose Discord username featured the red triangle affiliated with Hamas’ targeting.

Pirate Wires | Technology | Politics
0 free articles left
PW Technology
Newsletters Subscribe Sign In


ahmedhany93 04/03/2024 6:49 PM
I have a question before I create an account on wikipedia: what is the general recommendationfor a username? do you put your real name or something close to it or you put a nickname not related to your name?
ahmedhany93 04/03/2024 7:08 PM
Another question Ivana does the account allows you to edit multiple languages or a single language?
ahmedhany93 04/03/2024 7:20 PM
I was thinking that contributions are overall like if u made edits in Arabic it will count towards English but what I understood now is that each language has its own record. Thanks Ivana for the clarification. Another question: can you share your profile on wikipedia to look at it as a reference?
April 4, 2024
xzy5 04/04/2024 5:51 PM
rewrite the definition of neutrality??? wtf although tbh professors as "israeli" universities ETHICS professors at that worked to broaded the definition of "combatant" to basically mean any palestinian so guess I shouldnt be surprised
rewriting and vandalising the meaning of things is how this entity came to be
Samira 04/04/2024 6:04 PM
We are meeting right now for the office hours, just in case there was some confusion about the time
Samira 04/04/2024 7:06 PM
Hi Channel, let me introduce @Ivana as our resident Wikipedia expert. We are hoping and looking for others with moderate to high Wikipedia expertise to join the team of resident experts. The team (currently consisting only of Ivana) will moderate the channel chat + a Q&A session in the weekly office hours to address any interesting topics, insights, questions or issues highlighted by the community regarding our Wikipedia collaboration project in the channel.
1

With Ïvana's guidance, as well as her hands-on editing of articles, the TFP Wikipedia Collaboration group coordinated both on Discord and Wikipedia, where they created editing staging grounds on Talk pages that included elements like "Work in Progress Table," "Investigate and Decide," and a volunteer job board with detailed responsibilities. Off-wiki, the group created planning documentation with agendas, meeting notes, goal setting, role allocation, skills and breakdowns. Their activities ranged from editing celebrity articles by adding pro-Palestine statements they made to creating new articles out of whole cloth, like a proposed article called "Palestine: The Solution." The group focused extensively on the article for German discount supermarket Lidl, adding a section in the "Criticism" section about products from Israel being incorrectly labeled as Moroccan. They also put special emphasis on articles concerning sexual violence perpetrated by Hamas on October 7, with Ïvana questioning the veracity of reports of rape from that day, while adding to other articles claims that Israeli soldiers raped Palestinians. (In March, a senior UN official who investigated sexual violence on October 7 concluded that, "There are reasonable grounds to believe that conflict-related sexual violence — including rape and gang-rape — occurred across multiple locations of Israel and the Gaza periphery during the attacks on 7 October 2023." The official wrote her investigation produced a "'catalogue of the most extreme and inhumane forms of killing, torture and other horrors,' including sexual violence.")

Pirate Wires | Technology | Politics
0 free articles left
PW Technology
Newsletters Subscribe Sign In



Edit to dos [hide]

	Origin	Title	Type of work	Importance	Urgency	Deadline	Status	Responsible	Current Wikipedia URL	Notes
1	Edit to dos	Al-Rashid humanitarian aid incident	Edit				Not started			
2	Edit to dos	Self-immolation of Aaron Bushnell	Edit				Not started			
3	Edit to dos	Talk:Israel	Edit				Not started			Change the word "alleged Palestinian Genocide" to "Palestinian Genocide"
4	Edit to dos	Wikipedia:Wikipedia Signpost/2024-01-10/WikiProject report	Edit				Not started			An article for Wikipedia community's internal newspaper by shushugah about editing for I/P
5	Edit to dos	1988 Hamas charter	Edit				Not started			
6	Edit to dos	Portal:Palestine/Opentask	Edit				Not started			
7	Edit to dos	Anat Schwartz	Edit				Not started			
8	Edit to dos	Sexual and gender-based violence in the 7 October attack on Israel	Edit				Not started			
9	Edit to dos	Update page of Claudia Webbe MP 1 SH /rec'd on 5/Apr	Edit				✓ Done	Ivana		
10	Edit to dos	Update Jonathan Glazer and link to names of people supporting him ref his Oscar speech.	Edit				On hold			Not doable per RfC conclusion
11	Edit to dos	Check all signatories of Glazer support letter and update their pages.	Edit				Not started			Discord Link

In its most audacious case, TFP members developed a project to use Wikipedia as a means of pressuring British Members of Parliament to change their stance on the Israel-Palestine conflict in advance of UK elections in July. The plan called for scraping data on visits by MPs to Israel and Israel-related donor information, to create a dedicated Wikipedia article using the data to (as the originator of the project put it) inform "voters to put pressure ahead of the next [parliamentary] elections."

Within the TFP channel, there was always a background awareness that what they were doing was not in keeping with Wikipedia norms. Early in the channel's existence, a user and veteran Wikipedia editor called shushugah wrote, "I'm a little confused what the goal is here. I'm an active Wikipedia editor, and for any Israel/Palestine topics you need a solid grasp of Wikipedia policy/culture, and have 500 edits/30 days of activity...no shortcuts." Within minutes, another user, Heba, wrote "Let's chat [hand wave emoji]." One of the channel's power users, zei_squirrel, who runs an X account with 270,000 followers, noted "it's important to keep this as decentralized and organic as possible to avoid it being used against us, but again this should all be familiar to those who know how wiki works."

The anxiety was not unwarranted. In September, a researcher discovered the TFP Wikipedia Collaboration channel and published a number of posts on a blog called Wikipediaflood. (A magazine called Jewish Insider also stumbled across the group, but mostly failed to appreciate its full significance.) These events sent the group into a panic, with Ïvana erasing all her chats in the channel, and deleting the Talk pages and Sandboxes staging pages she'd created. The group locked down the TFP Wikipedia Collaboration channel in September. At minimum, the group made revisions to at least 112 articles on celebrities, American cities, pro-Palestine organizations, and figures and events related to the Gaza war.

Pirate Wires | Technology | Politics

0 free articles left

PW Technology

Newsletters Subscribe Sign In

Wikipedia is coming to a crossroads. The ask-and-answer modality of generative AI will eat away at the value of the site's privileged position within the Google information ecosystem. Groups less savvy than pro-Palestine will also learn to exploit the site, to much more public effect. As with so many of our once-cherished institutions, trust will be lost, and credibility will soon follow.

One of the hallmarks of an institution in crisis is that, far from preparing for the future, it is barely capable of managing the present. With Arbcom grinding to a halt and edit wars erupting in all corners — all while Wikimedia Foundation, fiddling to the baroque tunes of DEI, has turned its attention to funding progressive activism — it seems Wikipedia is facing exactly this challenge. In most cases, calling a crisis existential is overblown. While Wikipedia may not be there just yet, it's clear that moment is not far off.

— Ashley Rindsberg

Subscribe to The Industry

Your email here... Sign up for free

8 Likes 1 Comments Share

Please **sign-in** to comment

Cheryl Brennan 9 hours ago
Excellent reporting, war on truth has many fronts.
Like Reply

Continue Reading



How the Regime Captured Wikipedia

inside the cultural revolution at wikipedia, which pivoted it from a decentralized database of all the world's knowledge to a top-down social activism...

How Wikipedia Launders Regime Propaganda

wikipedia editors churn news articles from an overwhelmingly left-leaning list of "reliable sources" into neutrality-emblazoned fact



How Wikipedia is Becoming a Massive Pay-to-Play Scheme

a cottage industry of wikipedia editing services has emerged since the site's founding, and powerful

PIRATE WIRES

Terms & Conditions CookieX Policy (Twitter) Facebook Instagram RSS Newsletter Advertise All rights reserved. © 2024 Pirate Wires

0 free articles left